Chapter Thirteen: Queuing Analysis

PROBLEM SUMMARY

- I. Discussion
- 2. Discussion
- 3. Discussion
- 4. Discussion
- 5. Discussion
- 6. Discussion
- 7. Single-server model analysis
- 8. Single-server model analysis
- 9. Single-server model analysis
- 10. Single-server model analysis
- **11.** Single-server model analysis
- 12. Single-server model, decision analysis
- 13. Single-server model, decision analysis
- 14. Single-server model, decision analysis
- 15. Single-server model, decision analysis
- **16.** Single-server model, (Problem 15), P_n analysis
- **17.** Multiple-server model, (Problem 14), decision analysis
- 18. Multiple-server model analysis
- **19.** Single server, finite calling population
- 20. Single-server model analysis
- 21. Single-server, constant service time
- 22. Single server, constant service time
- 23. Single server, constant service time
- 24. Single server, constant service time
- 25. Single server, finite calling population
- 26. Single server, finite calling population
- 27. Multiple server model
- **28.** Multiple-server model (Problem 12), decision analysis
- 29. Multiple-server model, decision analysis
- **30.** Single server, decision analysis
- **31.** Single server, finite calling population

- **32.** Single-server, finite queue
- **33.** Single-server, decision analysis
- 34. Single-server, decision analysis
- 35. Multiple server
- **36.** Multiple-server model, decision analysis
- 37. Multiple-server model, decision analysis
- **38.** Multiple-server model, decision analysis
- **39.** Single-server model analysis
- 40. Single-server model, decision analysis
- 41. Single-server, finite queue
- 42. Multiple-server model analysis
- 43. Multiple-server model analysis
- 44. Multiple-server model analysis
- **45.** Single server model, finite queue
- **46.** Multiple-server model, decision analysis
- 47. Multiple-server model, decision analysis
- 48. Multiple-server model, decision analysis

PROBLEM SOLUTIONS

- **1. a)** Hair salon: multiple-server; first-come, firstserved or appointment; calling population can be finite (appointments only) or infinite (offthe-street business)
 - **b**) Bank: multiple-server; first-come, first-served; infinite calling population
 - c) Laundromat: multiple-server; first-come, firstserved; infinite calling population
 - d) Doctor's office: single- (or multiple-) server; appointment (usually); finite calling population
 - e) Advisor's office: single-server; first-come, first-served or appointment; finite calling population
 - **f**) Airport runway: single-server; first-come, first-served; finite calling population
 - **g**) Service station: multiple-server; first-come, first-served; infinite calling population
 - h) Copy center: single- or multiple-server; firstcome, first-served; infinite calling population
 - i) Team trainer: single-server; first-come, firstserved or appointment; finite calling population

- j) Mainframe computer: multiple-server; firstcome, first-served (or priority level); infinite calling population
- **2.** The addition of a new counter created two queues. The multiple-server model is for a single queue with more than one server.
- **3.** a) False. The operating characteristic values may be higher or lower depending on the magnitude of the standard deviation compared to the mean of the exponentially distributed service time.
 - **b**) True. Since there is no variability the operating characteristics would always be lower.
- **4.** When arrivals are random, in the short run more customers may arrive than the serving system can accommodate.
- 5. When customers are served according to a prearranged schedule or alphabetically, or are picked at random.
- 6. When $\mu = \sigma$

7.
$$\lambda = 16$$
 per hour; $\mu = 24$ per hour; $P_0 = 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu} = 1 - \frac{16}{24} = .33$; $P_3 = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^3 \cdot P_0 = (.67)^3 \cdot 33 = .099$;
 $L = \frac{\lambda}{\mu - \lambda} = \frac{16}{8} = 2.0$; $L_q = \frac{\lambda^2}{\mu(\mu - \lambda)} = \frac{(16)^2}{24(8)} = 1.33$; $W = \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} = \frac{1}{8} = .125$ hr (7.5 min); $W_q = \frac{\lambda}{\mu(\mu - \lambda)} = \frac{16}{24(8)} = .083$ hr (5 min); $U = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} = \frac{16}{24} = .67$

- 8. $\lambda = 10; \ \mu = 12; \ W_q = \frac{\lambda}{\mu(\mu \lambda)} = \frac{10}{12(2)} = .41 \text{ hr}$ (24.6 min); $U = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} = \frac{10}{12} = .833$
- 9. $\lambda = 6$; $\mu = 10$; $L_q = \frac{\lambda^2}{\mu(\mu \lambda)} = \frac{(6)^2}{10(4)} = .9$ car; $W = \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} = \frac{1}{4} = .25$ hr (15 min); $W_q = \frac{\lambda}{\mu(\mu - \lambda)} = \frac{6}{10(4)} = .15$ hr (9 min); if the arrival rate is increased to 12 per hour, the arrival rate would exceed the service rate; thus, an infinite queue length would result.
- 10. The arrival rate must be on an hourly basis; $\lambda = \frac{60}{7.5} =$ 8 per hour; $\mu = 10$ per hour; $L_q = \frac{\lambda^2}{\mu(\mu - \lambda)} =$ $\frac{(8)^2}{10(2)} = 3.2$ parts; $U = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} = \frac{8}{10} = .80$; I - U =I - .80 = .20

- 11. $U = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}$; $\mu = 10$ per hour; U = .90; therefore, $.90 = \frac{\lambda}{10}$, or $\lambda = 9$ per hour, or 1 part every 6.67 min.
- 12. $\lambda = 4$ per hour; $\mu = \frac{60}{12} = 5$ per hour a. $L_q = \frac{\lambda^2}{\mu(\mu - \lambda)} = \frac{(4)^2}{5(1)} = 3.2$ b. $W_q = \frac{\lambda}{\mu(\mu - \lambda)} = \frac{4}{5(1)} = .80$ hr (48 min) c. $W = \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} = 1$ hr (60 min)
 - d. 45 min per hour is a $\frac{45}{60}$, or .75, utilization factor. U cannot exceed .75. $U = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} = \frac{4}{5} = .80$ presently. Therefore, one more air traffic controller must be hired
- 13. $\lambda = 12$ per hour; $\mu = \frac{60}{4} = 15$ per hour. One window: $W_q = \frac{\lambda}{\mu(\mu - \lambda)} = \frac{12}{15(3)} = .26$ hr (16 min). Two win-

dows: $\mu = 15$ per hour (does not change). However, the arrival rate for each window is now split. $\lambda = 6$ per hour; $W_q = \frac{\lambda}{\mu(\mu - \lambda)} = \frac{6}{15(9)} = .044$ hr (2.67 min); 16 -

 $\mu(\mu - \lambda)$ 15(9) 2.67 = 13.33 min, reduction in waiting time; 13.33 × 2,000 = 26,660; cost of window = 20,000; 26,660 > 20,000; therefore, the second drive-in window should be installed.

14.
$$\lambda = 28$$
 per hour; $\mu = \frac{60}{2} = 30$ per hour
a. $L = \frac{\lambda}{\mu - \lambda} = \frac{28}{2} = 14; L_q = \frac{\lambda^2}{\mu(\mu - \lambda)} = \frac{(28)^2}{30(2)} = 13.1; W = \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} = \frac{1}{2} = .5$ hr (30 min);
 $W_q = \frac{\lambda}{\mu(\mu - \lambda)} = \frac{28}{30(2)} = .47$ hr (28.2 min); $U = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} = \frac{28}{30} = .93 = 93\%$
b. $W = \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda}$; let $W = 10$ min = .167 hr; .167 = $\frac{1}{\mu - 28}$, so $(\mu - 28)(.167) = 1; \mu - 28 = 6$, so $\mu = 34$ students per hour. $\frac{60}{34} = 1.76$ min required to
approve a schedule in order to meet the dean's goal.
Since each assistant will reduce the service time by
.25 min, then 1 more assistant is all that is needed (i.e.
2.00 min - .25 = 1.75 min).